SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/10 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Riverside County

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$54,255,546

PJ's Size Grouping*: B

PJ Since (FY): 1992

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | |
|---|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group B | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 92 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 93.41 % | 91.16 % | 23 | 92.07 % | 66 | 61 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 86.00 % | 83.89 % | 28 | 84.14 % | 52 | 53 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 11.66 | 5.84 | 1 | 4.79 | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 95.42 % | 75.05 % | 25 | 81.45 % | 58 | 51 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 71.20 % | 69.01 % | 41 | 69.74 % | 42 | 44 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 94.01 % | 79.06 % | 19 | 80.97 % | 83 | 80 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 40.24 % | 40.24 % | 45 | 45.50 % | 37 | 39 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 93.41 % | 1 | 95.55 % | 100 | 100 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In St | tate: 16 / 92 | Nation | nally: 70 | 71 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | d Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$36,548 | \$35,004 | | \$26,831 | 584 Units | 23.50 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$22,744 | \$20,947 | | \$15,029 | 1,032 Units | 41.50 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$0 | \$27,511 | | \$20,806 | 0 Units | 0.00 |
| TBRA Unit | \$550 | \$2,735 | | \$3,228 | 868 Units | 34.90 |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (214 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (283 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Riverside County CA

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in **HOME-assisted projects**)

PJ: State:* National:** Rental \$111,390 \$135,492 \$96,090 Homebuyer \$108,257 \$114,689 \$75,663

Homeowner \$0 \$28,606 \$23,585 **CHDO Operating Expenses:** (% of allocation)

PJ:

1.05

National Avg:

0.0 % 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index:

| RACE: White: Black/African American: Asian: American Indian/Alaska Native: Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: American Indian/Alaska Native and White: Asian and White: Black/African American and White: American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | % 44.3 11.3 0.7 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | % 12.1 2.8 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 | Homeowner % 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | TBRA % 33.4 34.0 0.8 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: Single/Non-Elderly: Elderly: Related/Single Parent: Related/Two Parent: Other: | Rental H % 26.0 26.7 24.0 19.9 3.4 | 6.0 3.3 20.3 66.0 4.4 | Homeowner TBRA % 21.4 0.0 8.8 0.0 53.2 0.0 9.3 0.0 7.4 | <u>.</u> 2] 3] |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Other Multi Racial: Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 41.3 | 83.5 | 0.0 | 29.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL A | SSISTANC | | | |
| 1 Person: | 44.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 24.4 | Section 8: | 11.8 | 0.1 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 13.7 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 19.5 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 16.8 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 15.9 | Other: | 1.9 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 12.2 | 26.1 | 0.0 | 18.4 | No Assistance: | 86.3 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 6.8 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 11.2 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 4.6 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 6.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.9 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 2.7 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 1.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 1.9 | # of Section 504 Compliant l | Jnits / Com | pleted Uni | ts Since 2001 | 207 |

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHO

Page 2

^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

— HOME PROGRAM — SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Riverside County State: CA Group Rank: 70 (Percentile)

State Rank: 16 92 PJs Overall Rank:

Summary: 0 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 75.25% | 95.42 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 50.43% | 71.2 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 94.01 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 91.30% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-Y | 'EARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 3.340 | 2.09 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.